

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,341	10/17/2005	Andrew M. Howe	86011CPK	5870
1333 EASTMAN K	7590 12/30/200 ODAK COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEC	GAL STAFF	CLARK, GREGORY D		
343 STATE ST ROCHESTER	FREET , NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
noem.	,		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/553,341	HOWE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GREGORY CLARK	1794		

	GREGORY CLARK	1794							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, large action and the property of the original property or original property or original property or original property original property or original property original pro									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered beca (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 San attached Nation of Nan Co	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		Inpliant Amendment (- TOL-324).						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation on how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	hefore or on the date of filing a No	otice of Anneal will no	he entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
NECUCES FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attachment Below.									
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
/Jennifer McNeil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/GREGORY CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794								

Continuation of 11; Nohr teaches nanoparticle based recording mediums and methods of making recording mediums (paragraphs 2 and 12). Nohr teaches a coating composition with polymers of ionized groups of opposite charge on the surface of colloidal particles (paragraph 12). The application of the nanoparticles to the substrate is a method of creating a new recording medium with improved water and detergent resistance due to the presence of the nanoparticles (paragraph 12). Nohr specifically teaches a recording medium comprising nanoparticles with a colloidal inner core which is used as a particle template surface having alternating layers of charged polymer-colorant (or polyelectrolyte-colorant) being assembled on the nanoparticle template core surface (the structure of figure 2) (paragraph 13). While Nohr uses a colorant polymer along with the alternating polymer, Nhor also mentions the use of colorless charged polymer and colorless charged polymer layers (paragraphs 15 and 59). Nohr clearly does not limit the usage of nanoparticles to inks but specifically mentions recording mediums. As Nohr gives guidance as to the ultility of such nanoparticles in recording mediums, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used such nanoparticles to enchance the substrate performance in ink iet recording mediums. The examiner maintains that Nohr shows the utility of a particle template surface having alternating layers of positively and negatively polymers around a nanoparticle template core surface and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made a single copolymer containing with positively and negatively charged groups. Nohr mentions the clear benefits of the recording medium containing such nanoparticles and it would have been obvious to make an ink jet recording medium containing a nanoparticle template surface having alternating layers of colorless charged polymers to improve the substrate performance.